

North East London Formulary & Pathways Group (FPG) Tuesday 25th April 2023 at 12.30pm via MS Teams

Meeting Chair: Gurvinder Rull

Minutes

Attendance	Name	Initials	Designation	Organisation
Clinical Represe	entatives			
Present	Sarah Hall	SH	GP, Medicines Optimisation Lead for Tower Hamlets (FPG Co-Chair)	NHS NEL
Present	Gurvinder Rull	GR	Consultant Clinical Pharmacology (FPG Co-Chair)	BH
Present	Louise Abrams	LA	Clinical Pharmacologist, DTC Chair	HHFT
Absent	John McAuley	JM	Consultant Neurologist, DTC Chair	BHRUT
Present	Maisarah Amran	MA	ST Clinical Pharmacology	BH
Present	John Booth	JB	Consultant Nephrologist	BH
Absent	Vikas Kapil	VK	Consultant Clinical Pharmacology	BH
Trusts' Pharma	cy Representatives			
Present	Jaymi Teli	JT	Lead Formulary & Pathways Pharmacist	BH
Present	Nick Cooley	NC	Deputy Chief Pharmacist, Clinical Quality	BH
Present	Suzanne Al-Najim	SA	NHSEI Commissioning Pharmacist	BH
Present	Maruf Ahmed	MA	Formulary Pharmacy Technician	BH
Present	Dinesh Gupta	DG	Assistant Chief Pharmacist, Clinical Service	BHRUT
Apologies	Iola Williams	IW	Chief Pharmacist	HHFT
Apologies	Chinedu Ogbuefi	CO	Interim Deputy Chief Pharmacist for London Services	ELFT
Present	Iffah Salim	IS	CAMHS Directorate Lead, Medicines Information Pharmacist	ELFT
Present	Kiran Dahele	KD	Formulary & Governance Pharmacist	NELFT
Present	Sibel Ihsan	SI	Lead Directorate Pharmacist for Waltham Forest	NELFT
NEL Pharmacy	& Medicines Optimisation	n Team's Rep	resentatives	1
Present	Belinda Krishek	BK	Director of Medicines Optimisation	NHS NEL
Present	Denise Baker	DB	Medicines Optimisation Business Manager	NHS NEL

Dresent	Anda V/III	A)/	loint Formulan, Dharmanist	
Present	Anh Vu	AV	Joint Formulary Pharmacist	NHS NEL
Present	Ann Chan	AC	Senior Prescribing Advisor	NHS NEL
Present	Natalie Whitworth	NW	Commissioning & Contracting Pharmacist	NHS NEL
Present	Niloufar Nourishad	NN	Commissioning & Contracting Pharmacist	NHS NEL
Present	Nicola Fox	NF	Commissioning & Contracting Senior Pharmacy Technician	NHS NEL
Other Representatives				
Present	Mohammed Kanji	MK	Prescribing Advisor (Representing NEL Primary Care Non-Medical	NHS NEL
			Prescribers)	
Apologies	Yasmine Korimbux	YK	Senior Transformation Manager/Lead Pharmacist, NICE Medicine and	NHS NEL
			Prescribing Associate	
Present	Annett Blochberger	AB	Deputy Head of Regional Specialised Commissioning - Pharmacy	NHSE
Guests				
Present	Anthony Bewley	ABe	Consultant Dermatologist	BH
Present	Hannah Marrison	HM	Specialist Pharmacist, Rheumatology & Dermatology	BH

North East London organisations:

- Barts Health NHS Trust (BH)
- Barking, Havering and Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust (BHRUT)
- Homerton Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust (HHFT)
- East London NHS Foundation Trust (ELFT)
- North East London NHS Foundation Trust (NELFT)
- North East London Integrated Care Board (NHS NEL)

No.	Agenda item and minute
1.	Quoracy check
	The meeting was quorate.
2.	Welcome, introduction and apologies
	The Chair welcomed all to the meeting and apologies were noted as above. The Chair thanked the co-chair who would be leaving the group and advised that an interim GP representative would be attending in June.
3.	Declarations of interest from member and presenters
	The Chair reminded members and presenters of their obligation to declare any interests relating to agenda items.

4.	Minutes from previous meeting
	The minutes of the previous meeting (March 2023) were reviewed and approved.
	The redacted minutes for December 2022, January and February 2023 were agreed.
5.	Matters Arising
	1. <u>Review of Action Log</u> The format of the action log had been updated and it was agreed that a copy of the action log outlining the updates that would be required at each meeting, would be included with the circulation of the agenda going forward.
	ADHD Shared Care Guideline – a draft document has been prepared and is to be reviewed by the Shared Care & Transfer of Care Working Group (STWG).
	Betesil medicated plaster - a one-page guidance document had been provided and was to be reviewed by the specialist medicine team for presentation to the Guidelines & Pathways Working Group (GPWG) in July.
	Relugolix-estradiol-noresthisterone acetate (Ryeqo) – HHFT would commence work on the production of a NEL wide pathway for fibroids. NEL HCD pathway for psoriasis – the pathway was to be reviewed by the GPWG.
	Atopic dermatitis pathway – the pathway was awaiting comments from clinical colleagues with the intention to submit to FPG in June.
	Blood glucose testing information booklet – FINAL DRAFT This document had been circulated for information only, having previously been approved subject to suggested amendments which had now been incorporated into the booklet. Noted.
	2. <u>Betesil prescribing in primary care clarification of position</u> This item had been discussed as part of the action log review above.
	3. <u>Enoxaparin shared care comments update and decision (BHRUT)</u> It was requested that the following line be removed from the document 'shared care will be assumed if no response' as this contradicted section 17 of the document which follows the RMOC guidance. It was suggested that if response to a shared care request was not received then secondary care colleagues could contact the NEL pharmacy and medicines optimisation team who would provide support. A NEL MO enquiry line was currently being set up and this could be included once established, within shared care documents as they were reviewed by the STWG. Brand prescribing was not mentioned within the shared care and following a concern raised by a NELFT colleague it was agreed

	to include wording that would enable substitution to an alternative brand. This would be for exceptional circumstances such as an emergency where stock issues had been identified.
	Outcome: approved subject to amendments.
	 4. <u>Upadacitinib FOC scheme – updated numbers from BHRUT and HHHT</u> The group were advised that the following information had been added to the March FPG minutes as post-meeting notes: BHRUT – 2 patients and were yet to sign the contract; awaiting a new patient to be initiated on the medication HHFT - 5 patients and the contract had been signed Noted.
	 5. <u>SGLTi checklist FINAL</u> BHRUT colleague provided the following comments: Euglycemic – spelling to be corrected Checklist – to elaborate wording to include 'cautions' Noted.
6 & 7.	Secukinumab dose escalation in psoriasis (full application and discount scheme)
	BH colleagues were welcomed to the meeting to present the above items. Declarations of interest had been submitted electronically and would be reviewed by the Chair prior to the publication of any decision.
	It was explained that the application was to support dose escalation of secukinumab for patients suffering with severe chronic plaque psoriasis with a body weight of 90kg or higher. The recommended monthly maintenance does of 300mg was to be increased to 300mg every two weeks for this selected cohort of patients. Each 300mg dose would be given as one subcutaneous (SC) injection or as two SC injections of 150mg and the patient or carer could administer once the required training had been completed.
	The group had an extensive discussion around the patient selection criteria for the escalated dose. It was noted that treatment failure in the trial was defined as not achieving a Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) of 90 (i.e. 90% reduction from baseline), whereas NICE only require a PASI 75. Concerns were raised that patients would be given escalated therapy (based on the need to achieve a PASI 90) when they would have met NICE criteria for treatment response. NICE defines treatment response at the 12-week review point as either: • a 75% reduction in the PASI score from when treatment started (PASI 75) or • a 50% reduction in the PASI score (PASI 50) and a 5-point reduction in DLQI from when treatment started.
	The group agreed that the NICE criteria would be used. There was also concern raised around the lack of evidence for escalated dosing of secukinumab in secondary failure (which was the proposed use from the application). The trial randomised patients at the start of treatment

based on weight and did not specifically look at the evidence for secondary failure. However, it was noted that the drug's summary of product's characteristics states that 'secukinumab clearance and volume of distribution increase as body weight increases.'

The group were informed that Novartis had offered a three-year scheme that would enable patients meeting the above criteria with a body weight of 90kg or over, to receive the fortnightly 300mg dose at the same cost as those patients who were receiving the monthly maintenance dose of 300mg. It was noted that the three-year scheme had started in June 2022 and there is approximately two years left for this discount.

The company have provided written assurance that any patients started on treatment within the timeframe of this scheme and before a notice of termination is issued will continue to receive treatment at the reduced rate until the end of their treatment at the direction of the healthcare professional or for any other reason.

Concern was raised regarding the increased cost of an alternative medication being provided at the escalated dosing of fortnightly treatments. The referencing to the Young study to support efficacy and its suitability as a reliable indicator when NICE parameters and the trial parameters differed was also highlighted.

The group discussed the appropriateness of the scheme and the inequity that would occur for new patients who met the criteria, but were unable to receive the increased dosing regimen due to the end of the three- year period. It was noted that only two years remained of the Novartis three-year contract. However, the group did agree that it would be preferable to escalate doses of secukinumab rather than move to the next line of therapy, thus ensuring that alternative options remained within the treatment pathway for patients.

It could not be confirmed that similar schemes had been approved within other local areas. However, the group were advised that 'chairmans action' had already been requested to enable dose escalation of secukinumab for patients within BH.

It was suggested that Blueteq could be used to monitor and collate the necessary data for a 12- month review and this would be looked into. This treatment option was also to be considered for inclusion in part two of the NEL Psoriasis pathway review.

Outcome: Approved for secondary failure within the discount scheme as per current NICE guidance and with the understanding that should a patient have an inadequate response after three months on the escalated dose then another drug would be offered as per the NEL Psoriasis pathway. A review would be expected in 12 months to include response and patient numbers. Decision for ratification by the NEL Integrated Medicines Optimisation committee (IMOC).

Funding: Discount Scheme on the escalated dose **Formulary status:** hospital only

8.	Fentanyl lozenges addition to BHRUT formulary as part of NEL alignment
	BHRUT representative confirmed that fentanyl lozenges were already on the BH formulary and therefore the request was for formulary alignment across the Trusts. The group were advised that as young adults' transition from paediatric services to the adult sickle cell service they were not offered fentanyl lozenges and received oral morphine sulphate solution; fentanyl lozenges were fast acting and therefore provided additional pain relief whilst the oral morphine started to take effect. Monitoring of patients would be within the usual standard of monitoring for opiates.
	NEL ICB representative advised of a role as a clinical pharmacist in the chronic pain service at HHFT and had requested patient numbers from HHFT colleagues; a response was awaited. It was acknowledged that fentanyl lozenges were a 'hospital only' drug and not to be prescribed within primary care.
	Information received post meeting Homerton Sickle Cell Lead has confirmed that they would like to have Fentanyl lozenges for Homerton and have also provided estimated patient number 200.
	Outcome: approved – decision for ratification by IMOC. Funding: in-tariff drug
	Formulary status: hospital only
9.	Terms of Reference for six-month review
	The following areas were highlighted for consideration during the review of the document and these were discussed and agreed:
	 Background – to review wording in one year.
	 Purpose – to include link with the Medicines Safety & Quality Group (add a bullet point). Update bullet point referring to medical devices and amend wording to 'devices closely associated with a medicine'. To discuss devices within the formulary working group once established.
	 Governance – add the sub-working groups including cancer, IVIG to the pathway under the NEL FPG Group. Update the MSQG wording.
	 Scope – to add pharmacogenomics as a bullet point.
	 Receive reports from – To add reports from London Formulary Medicines Group, Hospital Only List (HOL), Respiratory and Ophthalmology. The NEL FPG highlight reports would also be shared. The five Integrated Care Systems would also share reports to enable a form of horizon scanning across London.

	 Membership – agreed to remove Senior nurse representative and amend Community pharmacy clinical lead to NEL Local Pharmaceutical Committee (LPC) representative. To add NICE Associate and NHSE Specialised Commissioning. It was agreed to add an additional Non-medical prescribing representative to enable both primary care and secondary care colleagues to attend the meetings. Discussion took place regarding lay member and the group informed that IMOC would be seeking lay representation and it was suggested that this would not be a requirement for other groups; it was agreed to reduce lay representation to one person. It was also agreed to remove Public Health representation and include reference to this role in the wording below enabling advice to be sought when required. Quorum for decision-making – to add 'or nominated deputy' to the Primary Care Prescribing Lead ensuring the Chair is advised of any change to attendance. To remove the nurse representative. Running of the meeting – to state the process for the meeting e.g. quoracy check, members declarations of interest prior to the commencement of items; this would support the appeals process. To amend Publications of outcomes to state 'will follow ratification at each IMOC meeting'. Decision making – to remove the first sentence and add 'All approved decisions will be ratified by IMOC'; ensure IMOC is in full within the document. Appeals Process – it was confirmed that IMOC only ratify positive decisions for ratification and end of year report. Remove ethical decision framework. Prescribing Support – remove reference to ScriptSwitch.
	Outcome: It was agreed that the document would be updated and re-submitted to the group for agreement.
10.	NICE TA ratification and horizon scanning
	An updated spreadsheet was shared which included a part year cost implication column and was available in the shared folder. Details for HHFT colleagues who could be contacted regarding patient numbers on behalf of the Trust would be shared.
11.	NICE TAs for discussion - nil
	 The following was shared: Note that TA 868 Vutrisiran for the treatment of relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma after three or more therapies (NHSE) was not commissioned across NEL (Royal Free is the commissioned centre)
12.	NHSE circulars- nil
13.	Commissioning update
	The following updates were provided: Semaglutide position statement - a draft document had been shared with the working group supporting weight management services and a meeting had been arranged for the 5 th May. A further update would follow.

	NEL ICB commissioning arrangements - awaiting approval from the Chief Finance Officers (CFO) regarding financial arrangements which included High Cost Drugs (HCD). It was confirmed that the ICB would continue with 'cost and volume' and there would be a set limit cap for each Trust for monies from the Elective Recovery Fund (ERF) with no further additional funding being made available. A draft HCD contract had been prepared and this was to be shared with the Trusts; the document would be included as an information item for the group. A meeting on Thursday (4 th May) was planned to discuss the financial arrangements for transferring responsibility for Covid-19 drugs to the ICB (from 1st April 2023). It was agreed that adequate time would be made available to support a follow-on discussion at the next FPG meeting in June or an additional meeting arranged if deemed necessary.
	NHS payments scheme – this had been published at the beginning of April and from that the HCD specialist commissioning NHS England list of drugs had been published. It was confirmed that the budget would remain with Spec Comm for this financial year with the exception of Covid-19 drugs.
14.	London Formulary Medicines Group (LFMG) meeting update - nil this month
15.	FPG workplan review – not discussed
16.	Equality: monitoring of usage and outcomes – nil at present
17.	Items for Ratification – this item will be removed from future agendas
18.	1. BH Cancer Drugs and Therapeutic Committee (DTC) agenda and minutes – March 2023
	 NEL Sub-regional immunoglobulin assessment panel agenda – March 2023 Noted
19.	Local Medicines Optimisation Group (MOG) updates:
	1. BH summary of chairs actions – March 2023
	2. BHRUT MOG agenda and minutes – February 2023
	Noted
20.	NEL FPG outcome letters
	March NEL FPG recommendations ratified by IMOC April 2023:
	Mydrane at BHRUT for mydriasis and intraocular anaesthesia during cataract surgery as part of formulary harmonisation
	Upadacitinib FOC scheme for use in Crohn's disease
	 NEL treatment pathways for inflammatory bowel disease in adults
	 NEL management of infection guidance for primary care v1.6
	 NEL guidance for safe fasting during Ramadan

	NICE TA860 – Maribavir for treating refractory cytomegalovirus infection after transplant
	NICE TA871 – Eptinezumab for preventing migraine
	 NICE TA856 – Upadacitinib for treating moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis
	Noted.
21.	Documents approved via NEL FPG chairs actions – nil
22.	Finalised Minutes – February 2023
23.	Any other business
	Methylphenidate supply – A concern was raised regarding the supply of methylphenidate and the confusion that has arisen regarding the generic prescribing for patients and the need for some patients to remain on the specific brand prescribed by the clinician. To support the understanding of prescribing/dispensing methylphenidate medications a bulletin had been produced which outlined bioequivalent products and when brand prescribing should be adhered to. The availability of a bypass number for community pharmacists to contact specialist clinicians should there be any issues with dispensing was suggested. However, the group were advised that there were numerous services supporting patients and the FP10 would include a service number for contact. There were concerns raised and it was agreed that the bulletin should be circulated to the group for comments before wider circulation.
	Outcome: Bulletin draft to be circulated to FPG members for comment.
	Next meeting: Tuesday 6 th June at 12.30 via MS Teams – calendar invite to be circulated.